Quantum 't Hooft loops of SYM $\mathcal{N} = 4$ as instantons of YM$_2$ in dual groups SU(N) and SU(N)/Z$_\mathrm{N}$

Antonio Bassetto, Shiyamala Thambyahpillai

Dipartimento di Fisica "G. Galilei" and INFN, Sezione di Padova,

Via Marzolo 8, 35131 Padua, Italy,

e-mail: bassetto@pd.infn.it, thamby@particle.uni-karlsruhe.de

Abstract

A relation between circular 1/2 BPS 't Hooft operators in 4d $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM and instantonic solutions in 2d Yang-Mills theory (YM$_2$) has recently been conjectured. Localization indeed predicts that those 't Hooft operators in a theory with gauge group G are captured by instanton contributions to the partition function of YM$_2$, belonging to representations of the dual group $^L G$. This conjecture has been tested in the case $G = U(N) =^L G$ and for fundamental representations. In this paper we examine this conjecture for the case of the groups $G = SU(N)$ and $^L G = SU(N)/Z_N$ and loops in different representations. Peculiarities when groups are not self-dual and representations not "minimal" are pointed out.

I. INTRODUCTION

Electric-magnetic duality in electromagnetism [1] has been extended to non-Abelian theories and, in particular, to $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills (SYM $\mathcal{N} = 4$), (S-duality) [2]. It is conjectured that SYM $\mathcal{N} = 4$ with gauge group G and coupling constant τ is equivalent to SYM $\mathcal{N} = 4$ with dual gauge group $^L G$ [3] and dual coupling constant $^L\tau$, with

$$^L\tau = -\frac{1}{\tau} \tag{1}$$

for simply laced algebras, where

$$\tau = \frac{\theta}{2\pi} + \frac{4\pi i}{g_{4d}^2}, \qquad ^L\tau = \frac{^L\theta}{2\pi} + \frac{4\pi i}{(^L g_{4d})^2}. \tag{2}$$

The symmetry has to be understood as an operator isomorphism between the two theories [4]. Since it interchanges electric and magnetic charges, it maps a Wilson operator [5] onto a 't Hooft operator [6] and vice-versa. Conjectures have also been suggested for chiral primary operators [7], surface operators [8] and domain walls [9].

An advance has recently been made with [10] where the conjecture has been extended to correlation functions of gauge invariant operators. The set of observables in SYM $\mathcal{N} = 4$ are related by the S-duality requirement

$$\langle \Pi_i \mathcal{O}_i \rangle_{G,\tau} = \langle \Pi_i {}^L \mathcal{O}_i \rangle_{^L G, {}^L\tau}. \tag{3}$$

This property is both interesting and difficult to prove since it involves strong coupling calculations. The choice has been focused on a 't Hooft operator $T(^L R)$ in a theory with gauge group G, $^L R$ being a representation of the dual group $^L G$.

The expectation value of a 't Hooft loop can be computed by a path-integral where the integration is performed over all fields which have a prescribed singularity along the loop. In the weak coupling regime quantum fluctuations around the classical monopole configuration can also be obtained up to one loop order and a recipe has been provided to compute the loop perturbatively at any desired higher order.

This result has subsequently been compared with a strong coupling calculation of a Wilson loop with dual gauge group and dual coupling (see (4)).

To compute Wilson loops where some fractions of supersymmetries are preserved, one may resort to matrix models where explicit calculations are feasible, as conjectured in [11,12] and proved in [13]. A rather interesting family of contours can be obtained by coupling three of the six scalars and by restricting the contours to lie on a great S^2 inside S^3. The related 1/8 BPS loop operators are conjectured to correspond to the "zero-instanton sector" of the two-dimensional Yang-Mills theory (YM_2) on S^2 [14]. In turn this was proved long ago to be equivalent to a Gaussian matrix model with area dependent coupling $g^2 A = -2g_{4d}^2$ [15], [16]. Several results which comply with this conjecture have appeared recently in [17].

From matrix models a strong coupling expression for the Wilson loop can be extracted, to be compared with the weak coupling expression of the 't Hooft loop hitherto obtained. This can eventually be used to test the S-duality conjecture

$$\langle T(^L R)\rangle_{G,\tau} = \langle W(^L R)\rangle_{^L G, ^L \tau}. \tag{4}$$

An even bolder conjecture has been proposed in [18]. After retrieving the correspondence between a (supersymmetric) Wilson loop in SYM $\mathcal{N}$=4 and the zero-instanton sector of the loop in YM_2, the authors extended this relation to suitable 't Hooft operators. More precisely they suggested that the expectation value of the 1/2 BPS circular 't Hooft loop in representation $^L R = (m_1, ..., m_N)$ in SYM $\mathcal{N}$=4 with gauge group G and with an imaginary coupling $(\theta = 0)$ could be obtained from the partition function $\mathcal{Z}$ of YM_2 with gauge group G around an unstable instanton [19] labelled by $^L R$

$$\langle T_{^L R}(\mathcal{C})\rangle_{G,\tau} = \frac{\mathcal{Z}(g; m_1, ..., m_N)}{\mathcal{Z}(g; 0, ..., 0)}, \tag{5}$$

where the configuration $(m_1, \cdots, m_N)$ is related to the boxes in the Young tableau.

Similarly, correlation functions of the 1/2 BPS 't Hooft loop with any number of 1/8 BPS Wilson loops inserted on the S^2 linked to the 't Hooft loop, could be computed in YM_2 by calculating the Wilson loop correlation functions around a fixed unstable instanton.

These suggestions are particularly intriguing since they point towards endowing those instantonic sectors with a "physical" meaning.

In fact in [18] the check was limited to the K-antisymmetric representations of the gauge group $U(N)$, which cannot be screened to give rise to sub-leading saddle points in the path integral localization (the "monopole bubbling" phenomenon [20]). Moreover the choice of $U(N)$ hid the possible occurrence of different representations R and ^{L}R in the general case, $U(N)$ being self-dual.

Our purpose in this paper is to extend the analysis to the gauge group $SU(N)$ and to its dual $SU(N)/Z_N$.

In Sect.2 we develop the harmonic analysis in $SU(N)$ and $SU(N)/Z_N$ of the partition function and of a Wilson loop. We remark that the Poisson transformation, which is the bridge between the expansions in terms of characters and of unstable instantons respectively, provides us with two *different* expressions for the *same* quantity.

In Sect.3 we test the conjecture of ref. [18] of a relation between a Wilson loop in the K-fundamental representation of SU(N) and a 't Hooft loop, obtained by singling out in the partition function the contribution of an instanton belonging to the same representation. The test was successfully performed in [18] for the group $U(N)$. The novelty in our case is that the K-irrep is not present in $SU(N)/Z_N$. As a consequence the test can only be exploited starting from $SU(N)/Z_N$ for the partition function and ending in $SU(N)$. Then we discuss the case of the adjoint representation. In this case both $SU(N)$ and $SU(N)/Z_N$ are viable. However it turns out that the instanton contribution to the partition function, which should correspond to a 1/2 BPS 't Hooft loop in SYM $\mathcal{N} = 4$, indeed presents some extra terms (subleading in N) with respect to the Wilson loop in the same representation. This is a concrete realization of the possibility mentioned in [18] and there interpreted as a subleading contribution in the path-integral localization of SYM $\mathcal{N} = 4$.

Limitations occurring when considering correlators between Wilson loops and a 't Hooft loop are also briefly pointed out.

Finally Sect.4 contains our conclusions together with some insight into possible future

developments.

II. THE HARMONIC ANALYSIS ON $SU(N)$ AND $SU(N)/Z_N$

The basic ingredient in computing the partition function and Wilson loop correlators in YM_2 with gauge group SU(N) is the heat kernel on a two-dimensional cylinder $\mathcal{K}(A; U_2, U_1)$ of area $A = L\tau$ (L=base circle, τ = length), and fixed holonomies at the boundaries U_1 and U_2. The only geometrical dependence of the kernel is on its area, thanks to the invariance of YM_2 under area-preserving diffeomorphisms [19]. The kernel enjoys the basic sewing property

$$\mathcal{K}(L\tau : U_2, U_1) = \int dU(u)\mathcal{K}(Lu; U_2, U(u))\mathcal{K}(L(\tau - u)); U(u), U_1). \tag{6}$$

The partition function on a sphere with area A is expressed as $\mathcal{K}(A; \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})$.

The kernel $\mathcal{K}$ can be expanded as a series of the characters χ_R of all the irreducible representations (irreps) of SU(N), according to the equation

$$\mathcal{K}(A; U_2, U_1) = \sum_R \chi_R^\dagger(U_2)\chi_R(U_1) \exp\left[-\frac{g^2 A}{4} C^R\right], \tag{7}$$

$C^R \equiv C_2(R)$ being the quadratic Casimir operator of the R- representation.

Now we move our interest to the group $SU(N)/Z_N$, Z_N being the center of $SU(N)$. The elements of Z_N are the roots of unity $z = \exp\frac{2\pi in}{N}$, $n = 0, \cdots, N - 1$. The homotopy of $SU(N)/Z_N$ is non trivial; the bundles of $SU(N)/Z_N$ over a two-dimensional closed oriented Riemann surface Σ can be topologically classified by the choice of a value of z [21], [22].

To compute the partition function of YM_2 (and, more generally, its basic propagation kernel) one has to sum over the topologies of those bundles. A convenient way to do so is to weight the contribution of each sector with a representation $\chi_k(z)$ of Z_N, obtaining the following refined expression

$$\mathcal{K}_k(A; U_2, U_1) = \sum_{z\in Z_N} z^k \mathcal{K}(A; zU_2, U_1) \tag{8}$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \sum_R e^{\frac{2\pi i n}{N}(k-m^R)} \chi_R^\dagger(U_2) \chi_R(U_1) \exp\left[-\frac{g^2 A}{4} C^R\right],$$

where the holonomy U_2 has been "twisted" and $m^{(R)} = \sum_{q=1}^{N-1} m_q^{(R)}$ is the total number of boxes of the Young tableau.

In this equation k selects a sector of irreps of $SU(N)$ by the rule $k(R) = m^{(R)}$. When $k = 0$ the irreps of $SU(N)$ are "neutral" and thereby belong to $SU(N)/Z_N$.

Choosing $U_1 = U_2 = \mathbf{1}$, the contribution of the k-sector to the partition function takes the expression

$$\mathcal{Z}_k(A) = \sum_R (d_R)^2 \exp\left[-\frac{g^2 A}{4} C^R\right] \delta_{[N]}(k - m^{(R)}), \tag{9}$$

where d_R is the dimension of the R-irrep and $\delta_{[N]}$ is the N-periodic delta-function.

Summing over k, the $SU(N)$ partition function is immediately recovered. The sector $k = 0$ provides instead the partition function $\mathcal{Z}_0(A)$ of $SU(N)/Z_N$, where the contributions from different topological bundles are summed over (see eq.(19)).

Introducing the explicit expression for the characters [23] enables us to write eq.(9) explicitly in terms of a new set of indices $\{l_i\} = (l_1, \ldots, l_N)$, $l_i = m_i + N - i$ (see the Appendix). By recalling the relations

$$C_2(R) = \sum_{i=1}^N \left(l_i - \frac{l}{N}\right)^2 - \frac{N}{12}(N^2 - 1)$$

$$d_R = \Delta(l_1, ..., l_N), \qquad l = \sum_{i=1}^N l_i, \tag{10}$$

where Δ is the Vandermonde determinant , we get [22]

$$\mathcal{Z}_k(A) = \frac{(2\pi)^{N-1}}{N! \sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{l_i=-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_0^{2\pi} d\alpha \, e^{-\left(\alpha - \frac{2\pi}{N}l\right)^2} \delta_{[N]}\left(k - l + \frac{N(N-1)}{2}\right)$$

$$\times \quad \exp\left[-\frac{g^2 A}{4} C_2(l_i)\right] \Delta^2(l_1, ..., l_N). \tag{11}$$

The dual representation in this context is realized by means of a Poisson transformation

$$\sum_{l_i=-\infty}^{+\infty} F(l_1, \ldots, l_N) = \sum_{n_i=-\infty}^{+\infty} \tilde{F}(n_1, \ldots, n_N),$$

$$\tilde{F}(n_1, \ldots, n_N) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dz_1 \ldots dz_N F(z_1, \ldots, z_N) \exp\left[2\pi i(z_1 n_1 + \ldots + z_N n_N)\right]. \tag{12}$$

6

In order to perform this multiple Fourier transform, we remember that the transformation of a product is turned into a convolution; moreover we recall the result

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dz_1 \ldots dz_N \exp\Big[i(z_1 p_1 + \ldots + z_N p_N)\Big]\Delta(\{z_i\})\exp\Big(-\frac{g^2 A}{8}\sum_{q=1}^{N} z_q^2\Big) =$$

$$\Big[\frac{4i}{g^2 A}\Big]^{\frac{N(N-1)}{2}}\Big[\frac{8\pi}{g^2 A}\Big]^{\frac{N}{2}}\Delta(\{p_i\})\exp\Big(-\frac{2}{g^2 A}\sum_{q=1}^{N} p_q^2\Big). \tag{13}$$

Taking these relations into account, eq. (11) becomes

$$\mathcal{Z}_k(A) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \exp\Big[\frac{2\pi i n k}{N}\Big]\mathcal{Z}^{(n)}(A), \tag{14}$$

where

$$\mathcal{Z}^{(n)}(A) = (-1)^{n(N-1)}\,\mathcal{C}(A,N)\sum_{n_q=-\infty}^{+\infty}\delta\Big(n-\sum_{q=1}^{N} n_q\Big)\exp\Big[-\frac{4\pi^2}{g^2 A}\sum_{q=1}^{N}\Big(n_q-\frac{n}{N}\Big)^2\Big]\zeta_n(\{n_q\}), \tag{15}$$

with

$$\zeta_n(\{n_q\}) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dz_1 \ldots dz_N \exp\Big[-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{q=1}^{N} z_q^2\Big]\Delta(\{\sqrt{\frac{g^2 A}{2}}z_q + 2\pi n_q\})\Delta(\{\sqrt{\frac{g^2 A}{2}}z_q - 2\pi n_q\})$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dz_1 \ldots dz_N \exp\Big[-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{q=1}^{N} z_q^2\Big]\Delta^2(\{\sqrt{\frac{g^2 A}{2}}z_q - 2\pi i n_q\}) \tag{16}$$

and $\mathcal{C}(A,N)$ an unessential normalization factor [22]. $\mathcal{Z}^{(n)}$ is clearly invariant under a common translation $\{n_q\} \to \{n_q - h\}$, $h \in \mathbf{Z}$: $\mathcal{Z}^{(n)} = \mathcal{Z}^{(n+hN)}$.

The classical instanton action $\mathcal{S} = \Big[\frac{4\pi^2}{g^2 A}\sum_{q=1}^{N}(n_q - \frac{n}{N})^2\Big]$ can be nicely compared to the Casimir expression in the exponential of eq.(9). One can already remark that the factor $\frac{4\pi^2}{g^2 A}$ here corresponds to the factor $\frac{g^2 A}{4}$ there, as suggested by duality.

The duality can most easily be realized by taking the sum over the sectors k, firstly in (9):

$$\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \mathcal{Z}_k = \sum_{R}(d_R)^2 \exp\Big[-\frac{g^2 A}{4}C_2(R)\Big], \tag{17}$$

as expected in $SU(N)$ (the δ-constraint on $m^{(R)}$ has disappeared) and then in (14):

$$\mathcal{Z} = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \mathcal{Z}_k = \mathcal{Z}^{(0)} = \mathcal{C}(A, N) \sum_{n_q=-\infty}^{+\infty} \delta_{[N]}(\sum_{q=1}^{N} n_q) \exp\Big[-\frac{4\pi^2}{g^2 A} \sum_{q=1}^{N} n_q^2\Big] \zeta_n(\{n_q\}). \qquad (18)$$

The dual relation can easily be obtained from eq.(14)

$$\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \mathcal{Z}^{(n)} = \mathcal{Z}_0. \qquad (19)$$

The expressions (18) and (19) are indeed symmetric under the interchange of the two sets of integers $\{m_q\}$ and $\{n_q\}$.

The next step to be performed is to obtain the quantum average of a Wilson loop in $SU(N)/Z_N$. In so doing we should confine ourselves to the set of "neutral" representations for the loop ($\sum m_i = 0, \ mod\, N$), otherwise the quantum expression would involve different sectors of $SU(N)$.

Let us therefore consider a regular non self-intersecting loop placed on the equator of our sphere S^2

$$\mathcal{W}_0(\frac{A}{2}, \frac{A}{2}) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}_0} \sum_{z \in Z_N} \int dU \mathcal{K}(\frac{A}{2}; z \cdot \mathbf{1}, U) \frac{1}{d_0} Tr_0[U] \mathcal{K}(\frac{A}{2}; U, \mathbf{1}). \qquad (20)$$

If we choose the adjoint representation, introducing characters, we get

$$\mathcal{W}_{adj}(\frac{A}{2}, \frac{A}{2}) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}_0 (N^2 - 1)} \sum_{R,S} d_R d_S \exp\Big[-\frac{g^2 A}{8}(C^{(R)} + C^{(S)})\Big]$$

$$\times \int dU \, \mathrm{Tr}_{adj}[U] \, \chi_R(U) \chi_S^\dagger(U) \, \delta_{[N]}(m^{(S)}) \, . \qquad (21)$$

In the 0-sector the loop exhibits the expected $\delta_{[N]}$ constraint on the total number of boxes $m^{(S)}$ of the Young tableau.

By making the expression of the characters explicit, after integrating over the group variables, taking suitable invariance under permutations into account and invariance of the Vandermonde determinants under constant translations in their arguments, a calculation (partially sketched in the Appendix; see also [22]) leads to

$$\mathcal{W}_{adj}(\frac{A}{2}, \frac{A}{2}) = \frac{1}{N+1}\Big\{1 + \frac{2}{\mathcal{Z}_0 (N-1)} \frac{(2\pi)^{N-1}}{\sqrt{\pi} N!} \sum_{l_i=-\infty}^{+\infty} \sum_{1=q_1<q_2}^{N} \exp[-\frac{g^2 A}{4}(l_{q_2} - l_{q_1} + 1)]$$

$$\times \int_0^{2\pi} d\alpha \; e^{-(\alpha - \frac{2\pi}{N}l)^2} \delta_{[N]}(-l + \frac{N(N-1)}{2})$$

$$\times \Delta(l_1, ..., l_N) \, \Delta(l_1, \ldots, l_{q_1} - 1, \ldots, l_{q_2} + 1, \ldots, l_N) \bigg\} , \tag{22}$$

Before undertaking the Poisson transformation it is useful to factorize the $\delta_{[N]}$-constraint using its exponential representation $\delta_{[N]}(q) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{p=0}^{N-1} e^{\frac{2\pi i p q}{N}}$. Then, by repeating the procedure used for $\mathcal{Z}_0$, a long but straightforward calculation leads to

$$\mathcal{W}_{adj}(\frac{A}{2}, \frac{A}{2}) = \frac{1}{N+1} + \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}_0} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \mathcal{W}^{(n)}(\frac{A}{2}, \frac{A}{2}) \tag{23}$$

where

$$\mathcal{W}^{(n)} = (-1)^{n(N-1)} \frac{2\,\mathcal{C}(A, N)}{N^2 - 1} \sum_{r<s} \sum_{n_q=-\infty}^{+\infty} \delta(n - \sum_{q=1}^{N} n_q)$$

$$\times \exp[-\frac{4\pi^2}{g^2 A} \sum_{q=1}^{N} (n_q - \frac{n}{N})^2] \exp[i\pi(n_s - n_r)] \Omega_n(\{n_q\}) \tag{24}$$

and

$$\Omega_n(\{n_q\}) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dz_1 \ldots dz_N \exp[-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=1}^{N} z_q^2] \exp[\frac{i}{2} \sqrt{\frac{g^2 A}{2}} (z_r - z_s)] \times$$

$$\Delta(\sqrt{\frac{g^2 A}{2}} z_1 - 2\pi n_1, ., \sqrt{\frac{g^2 A}{2}} z_N - 2\pi n_N) \, \Delta(\sqrt{\frac{g^2 A}{2}} z_1 + 2\pi n_1, ., \sqrt{\frac{g^2 A}{2}} z_N + 2\pi n_N). \tag{25}$$

Obviously the limitation of considering only "neutral" representations for the Wilson loop does not concern the group $SU(N)$. If we choose for example the K-antisymmetrical fundamental representation $(1, \cdots, 1, 0, \cdots, 0)$ we get

$$\mathcal{W}(\frac{A}{2}, \frac{A}{2}) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z} \, d_K} \sum_{R,S} d_R d_S \exp\left[-\frac{g^2 A_1}{8}(C^{(R)} + C^{(S)})\right]$$

$$\times \int dU \, \mathrm{Tr}_K[U] \, \chi_R(U) \chi_S^\dagger(U), \tag{26}$$

and, by repeating the technical procedures we followed in the case of the adjoint representation, we obtain the expression

9

$$\mathcal{W}(\frac{A}{2}, \frac{A}{2}) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \sum_{l_i=-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\beta \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dl \, e^{i\beta(l-\sum_i l_i)}$$

$$\int_0^{2\pi} d\alpha \, e^{-(\alpha-\frac{2\pi l}{N})^2} \exp\left[-\frac{g^2 A}{8}\left(2C(l_i) - 2\sum_{j=1}^K l_j + \frac{K}{N}(N + 2l - K)\right)\right]$$

$$\Delta(l_1 - 1, l_2 - 1, \cdots, l_K - 1, l_{K+1}, \cdots, l_N)\Delta(l_1, l_2, \cdots, l_N). \tag{27}$$

After a Poisson resummation, we finally reach its expansion in terms of instantons

$$\mathcal{W}(\frac{A}{2}, \frac{A}{2}) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} e^{\frac{g^2 A K^2}{16N}} \sum_{\{n_i\}} \delta_{[N]}(\sum_{i=1}^N n_i) e^{i\pi \sum_{j=1}^K n_j} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dy_1 \cdots dy_N \Pi_{i<j}\left[4\pi^2 n_{ij}^2 - y_{ij}^2\right]$$

$$\exp\left[-\frac{4\pi^2}{g^2 A}\sum_j n_j^2\right] e^{-\frac{i}{2}\sum_{j=1}^K y_j} e^{-\frac{1}{g^2 A}\sum_j y_j^2}. \tag{28}$$

III. THE CONJECTURE

As discussed in the Introduction, the average value of a $1/2$ BPS t'Hooft circular loop winding on a large circle on S^2 in SYM $\mathcal{N}$=4 with gauge group G in the representation $^L R = (m_1, \cdots, m_N)$ has been conjectured to be obtained from the contribution to the partition function $\mathcal{Z}$ of YM_2 of an unstable instanton labelled by $^L R$ (see eq.(5)). In turn this should be dual to the "zero instanton" contribution to the average value of a Wilson loop in the $^L R$ representation (in the character expansion) of the group $^L G$, winding over a large circle of S^2 of YM_2 ([18]).

In the following, the possibility of singling out different k-sectors of $SU(N)$ will not be pursued. In fact we think nobody knows at present the relevance (if any) of those sectors in the correspondence $YM_2 \leftrightarrow SYM \mathcal{N} = 4$, in particular the meaning of the counterpart (if any) of the k-parameter of YM_2 in the $SYM \mathcal{N} = 4$ context.

We are now in the position to discuss the conjecture when the groups considered are $SU(N)$ and its dual $SU(N)/Z_N$. Let us first start from the character expression of $\mathcal{Z}_0$ moving to its dual instanton expansion in $SU(N)$.

The "zero instanton" contribution of $\mathcal{Z}_0$ is easily derived from eq.(14)

$$\mathcal{Z}_0^{[0]} = \int dz_1, \cdots, dz_N \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\sum_q z_q^2\right] \Delta^2(\{z_q\}), \qquad (29)$$

where the normalization has been suitably modified.

As a first example, we calculate the instanton contribution to the partition function $\mathcal{Z}_0$ corresponding to the K-fundamental representation $\{n_q\} = (1, \cdots, 1, 0, \cdots, 0)$ with the first K-elements being unity, and permutations thereof.

Inserting this configuration in eqs.(14),(15) and (16), we get

$$\mathcal{Z}_0^{[K]} = (-1)^{K(N-1)} e^{\frac{4\pi^2 K^2}{g^2 A N}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dz_1 \cdots dz_N \Pi_{i<j}\left[z_{ij}^2\right] e^{-2\pi i \sqrt{\frac{2}{g^2 A}} \sum_{j=1}^{K} z_j} \; e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sum_j z_j^2}, \qquad (30)$$

where permutations have been taken into account.

According to the conjecture, this result is to be compared to the zero-instanton contribution in eq.(28). The change of variables $y_i = \sqrt{\frac{g^2 A}{2}} z_i$ would lead to a perfect agreement with eq.(30) under the interchange $\frac{8\pi^2}{g^2 A} \leftrightarrow \frac{g^2 A}{8}$, were it not for the sign factor in (30). The occurrence of a similar factor was also noticed in [18].

The other option $(SU(N) \to SU(N)/Z_N)$ is not viable. As a matter of fact the presence of the constraint $\delta_{[N]}(\sum_{q=1}^{N} n_q)$ in $\mathcal{Z}^{(0)}$ makes the representation $\{n_q\} = (1, \cdots, 1, 0, \cdots, 0)$ for the 't Hooft loop impossible, as it is not shared by the group $SU(N)/Z_N$ (see eq.(18)).

At this point some comments concerning other irreps are in order.

Suppose we consider a 't Hooft loop in the adjoint representation. The total number of boxes in the Young tableau being N in this case, we can equally well consider $SU(N)$ or $SU(N)/Z_N$. Going back to eqs.(5) and (14), the Young tableau of the adjoint representation has the configuration $\{n_q\} = (2, 1, \cdots, 1, 0)$, which is equivalent $mod\, N$ to $(1, 0, \cdots, 0, -1)$, its highest weight. We get

$$\mathcal{Z}_{adj} = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dz_1, \cdots, dz_N \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{q=1}^{N} z_q^2\right] \exp\left[\frac{2\sqrt{2}\pi i}{\sqrt{g^2 A}} z_{1N}\right] \Delta^2(\{z_q\}). \qquad (31)$$

Taking invariance under permutations into account, it becomes

$$\mathcal{Z}_{adj} = \left(1 + \frac{1}{N}\right) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dz_1, \cdots, dz_N \Delta^2(\{z_q\}) \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{q=1}^{N} z_q^2\right] \times$$

$$\left[\frac{\sum_{r,s=1}^{N} \exp(2\pi i \sqrt{2/g^2 A} z_{rs}) - 1}{N^2 - 1} - \frac{1}{N+1} \right]$$

$$= \left[(1 + \frac{1}{N}) \int \mathcal{D}F \exp(-\frac{1}{2} Tr F^2) \frac{1}{N^2 - 1} \left(|Tr[\exp(2\pi i \sqrt{\frac{2}{g^2 A}} F)]|^2 - 1 \right) \right] - \frac{\mathcal{Z}^{[0]}}{N}. \qquad (32)$$

Here F is a traceless hermitian matrix.

The "zero instanton" contribution to the Wilson loop in the adjoint representation can easily be obtained from eq.(23)

$$\mathcal{W}_{adj}^{[0]}(\frac{A}{2}, \frac{A}{2}) = \frac{1}{N+1} \left[1 + \frac{N}{\mathcal{Z}^{[0]}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dz_1 \ldots dz_N \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=1}^{N} z_q^2 \right] \times \right.$$

$$\left. \exp\left[\frac{i}{2} \sqrt{\frac{g^2 A}{2}} z_{12} \right] \Delta^2(z_1, \ldots, z_N) \right]. \qquad (33)$$

Eventually the expression above turns into the matrix integral [24]

$$\mathcal{W}_{adj}^{[0]} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}^{[0]}} \int \mathcal{D}F \exp(-\frac{1}{2} Tr F^2) \frac{1}{N^2 - 1} (|Tr[\exp \frac{ig}{2} \sqrt{\frac{A}{2}} F]|^2 - 1). \qquad (34)$$

Comparing eqs.(32) and (34), we notice the expected duality relation $\frac{g^2 A}{8} \leftrightarrow \frac{8\pi^2}{g^2 A}$, but also the occurrence in (32) of extra terms, possibly related to the afore mentioned "monopole bubbling" [20].

We end this Section with a comment concerning correlators. In a theory with gauge group G, Wilson loops are labelled by irreps of G, whereas 't Hooft loops are labelled by irreps of $^L G$. As a consequence, in the case $SU(N) \leftrightarrow SU(N)/Z_N$, in a correlator $< W(R) T(^L R) >$ one cannot choose totally antisymmetric representations for both R and $^L R$ since one of the two representations is unavailable (see eq.(28)). Antisymmetric-adjoint and adjoint-adjoint would be viable choices, but possible subleading contributions would be involved.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

We have extended the conjecture of ref. [18] concerning a 1/2 BPS 't Hooft loop in the group $U(N)$, to the more general case of a group which is not self-dual. We have concretely

examined the choice $SU(N) \leftrightarrow SU(N)/Z_N$. The duality mapping is performed in our treatment by a Poisson transformation between an expansion in terms of characters and the one in terms of instantons.

The novelty in the case of groups which are not self-dual lies in the circumstance that not all representations are shared by them. For instance it is well known that the spinorial representations of $SU(2)$ are not shared by its dual partner $SU(2)/Z_2$.

In the example $SU(N) \leftrightarrow SU(N)/Z_N$ we have discussed, if we want a 't Hooft loop belonging to one of the fundamental irreps of $SU(N)$, we ought to start from $SU(N)/Z_N$, landing, after the Poisson transformation, in $SU(N)$.

We have also briefly discussed the adjoint irrep, which belongs to both $SU(N)$ and $SU(N)/Z_N$. Here we have concretely realized that this choice in the partition function for the 't Hooft loop involves subleading corrections, as expected on general grounds [18].

When considering correlators between Wilson loops and a 't Hooft loop according to the conjecture, possible subleading saddle point contributions are involved, because "minimal" representations for both are impossible.

It would be nice in the future to be able to extend the conjecture beyond the 1/2 BPS 't Hooft loop. As a preliminary requirement we need to thoroughly understand more general configurations of a 't Hooft loop in SYM $\mathcal{N}$=4, in particular their contributions as saddle points in the localization of the path-integral [13].

On more general grounds one might speculate whether topologically inequivalent k-sectors of $SU(N)$ in YM_2 would possess via the conjecture any counterpart in the form of some peculiar properties of $SYM \, \mathcal{N} = 4$.

From the mathematical side one should perhaps understand in a more general and systematic way the connection between a formulation of duality in terms of algebras and of groups. We remark that previous treatments were mostly based on a relation between algebras exchanging their highest weights under the duality transformation [3], [4], [10]. Here the conjecture forces us to choose their group counterparts where duality operates in the form of an integral Poisson transformation.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

V. APPENDIX

Let us introduce for $SU(N)$ the usual variables

$$\hat{l}_q = m_q + N - q, \qquad q = 1, \cdots, N-1, \tag{35}$$

which give rise to a strongly monotonous sequence $\hat{l}_1 > \hat{l}_2 > \cdots, \hat{l}_{N-1} > 0$ [22]. Then, with the twofold purpose of extending the range of the $\hat{l}_q$'s to negative integers and of gaining the symmetry over permutations of a full set of N indices, we introduce the obvious equality

$$\sqrt{\pi} = \int_0^{2\pi} d\alpha \sum_{\hat{l}_N=-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-(\alpha - \frac{2\pi}{N}\sum_{j=1}^{N-1}\hat{l}_j - 2\pi\hat{l}_N)^2}, \tag{36}$$

where $\hat{l}_N$ is a dummy quantity. Now we extend the representation indices by defining the new set

$$l_q = \hat{l}_q + \hat{l}_N, \qquad q = 1, \cdots, N-1,$$
$$l_N = \hat{l}_N, \tag{37}$$

which appears in eq.(10) and the equations that follow.

In terms of these indices eq.(21) takes the form

$$\frac{1}{N^2-1} + \mathcal{W}_{adj}(\frac{A}{2}, \frac{A}{2}) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}_0(N^2-1)} \sum_{l_i^R, l_i^S=-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{2\pi^2(N!)^2} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta_1 \ldots d\theta_N$$

$$\times \int_0^{2\pi} d\alpha_1 \, d\alpha_2 \, e^{-\left(\alpha_1 - \frac{2\pi}{N}l^R + 2\pi n\right)^2} e^{-\left(\alpha_2 - \frac{2\pi}{N}l^S\right)^2} \exp\left[-\frac{g^2 A}{8}\left(C_2(l_i^R) + C_2(l_i^S)\right)\right] \tag{38}$$

$$\times \sum_{p,q=1}^{N} e^{i(\theta_p - \theta_q)} \prod_{h=1}^{N} e^{il_h^R \theta_h} \prod_{r=1}^{N} e^{-il_r^S \theta_r} \delta_{[N]}\left(-l^S + \frac{N(N-1)}{2}\right) \Delta(l_1^R, ..., l_N^R)\Delta(l_1^S, ..., l_N^S).$$

By making the expression of the characters explicit, by taking invariance under permutations into account, and after integrating over the group variables, eq.(22) is eventually recovered.

14

REFERENCES

[1] P.A.M. Dirac, *Quantized Singularities In The Electromagnetic Field*, Proc.Roy.Soc. **A133**, 60 (1931).

[2] C. Montonen and D.I. Olive, *Magnetic Monopoles As Gauge Particles?*, Phys. Lett. **B72** (1977) 117;

E. Witten and D.I. Olive, *Supersymmetry Algebras That Include Topological Charges*, Phys. Lett. **B78** (1978) 97;

H. Osborn, *Topological Charges For N=4 Supersymmetric Gauge Theories And Monopoles Of Spin 1*, Phys. Lett. **B83** (1979) 321.

[3] P. Goddard, J. Nuyts, and D. Olive, *Gauge Theories And Magnetic Charge*, Nucl. Phys. **B125** (1977) 1.

[4] A. Kapustin, *Wilson-'t Hooft operators in four-dimensional gauge theories and S-duality*, Phys. Rev. **D74** (2006) 025005.

[5] K.G. Wilson, *Confinement Of Quarks*, Phys. Rev. **D10** (1974) 2445.

[6] G. 't Hooft, *On The Phase Transition Towards Permanent Quark Confinement*, Nucl. Phys. **B138** (1978) 1.

[7] K.A. Intriligator, *Bonus Symmetries of N=4 Super-Yang-Mills Correlation Functions via AdS Duality*, Nucl. Phys. **B551** (1999) 575;

K.A. Intriligator and W. Skiba, *Bonus Symmetry and the Operator Product Expansion of N=4 Super-Yang-Mills*, Nucl. Phys. **B559** (1999) 165.

[8] S. Gukov and E. Witten, *Gauge theory, ramification, and the geometric langlands program*, **arXiv:hep-th/0612073**;

J. Gomis and S. Matsuura, *Bubbling Surface Operators And S-Duality*, JHEP **06** (2007) 025.

[9] D. Gaiotto and E. Witten, *Supersymmetric Boundary Conditions in N=4 Super Yang-*

Mills Theory, **arXiv:0804.2902 [hep-th]** and *S-Duality of Boundary Conditions in N=4 Super Yang-Mills Theory,* **arXiv:0807.3720 [hep-th]**.

[10] J. Gomis, T. Okuda and D. Trancanelli, *Quantum 't Hooft operators and S-duality in $\mathcal{N}=4$ super Yang-Mills,* **arXiv:0904.4486 [hep-th]**.

[11] J.K. Erickson, G.W. Semenoff and K. Zarembo, *Wilson Loops in N=4 Supersymmetric Yang–Mills Theory* , Nucl. Phys. **B582** (2000) 155.

[12] N. Drukker and D.J. Gross, *An Exact Prediction of N=4 SUSYM Theory for String Theory,* J. Math. Phys. **42** (2001) 2896.

[13] V. Pestun, *Localization of gauge theory on a four-sphere and supersymmetric Wilson loops,* **arXiv: 0712.2824 [hep-th]**.

[14] N. Drukker, S. Giombi, R. Ricci and D. Trancanelli, *Supersymmetric Wilson loops on S^3* , JHEP **0805** (2008) 017 and *Wilson loops: From four-dimensional SYM to two-dimensional YM* , Phys. Rev. D **77** (2008) 047901;
S. Giombi, V. Pestun and R. Ricci, *Notes on Supersymmetric Wilson loops on a two-sphere,* **arXiv:0905.0665 [hep-th]**;
D. Young, *BPS Wilson Loops on S^2 at Higher Loops,* JHEP **0805** (2008) 077.

[15] M. Staudacher and W. Krauth, *Two-Dimensional QCD in the Wu-Mandelstam-Leibbrandt Prescription* , Phys. Rev. **D57**, (1998) 2456.

[16] A. Bassetto and L. Griguolo, *Two-dimensional QCD, instanton contributions and the perturbative Wu-Mandelstam-Leibbrandt prescription* , Phys. Lett. **B443**, (1998) 325.

[17] A. Bassetto, L. Griguolo, F. Pucci and D. Seminara, *Supersymmetric Wilson loops at two loops* , JHEP **0806** (2008) 083;
A. Bassetto, G. Griguolo, F. Pucci, D. Seminara, S. Thambyahpillai and D. Young, *Correlators of supersymmetric Wilson-loops, protected operators and matrix models in N=4 SYM,* JHEP **0908** (2009) 061 and *Correlators of supersymmetric Wilson loops at*

weak and strong coupling, JHEP **1003** (2010) 038.

[18] S. Giombi and V. Pestun, *The 1/2 BPS 't Hooft loops in $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM as instantons in 2d Yang-Mills*, [**arXiv: 0909.4272[hep-th**].

[19] E. Witten, *On Quantum Gauge Theories In Two-Dimensions*, Commun. Math. Phys. **141** (1991) 153 and J. Geom. Phys. **9** (1992) 303.

[20] A. Kapustin, and E. Witten, *Electric-Magnetic Duality And The Geometric Langlands Program*, [**arXiv: hep-th/0604151**].

[21] G. Grignani, L. Paniak, G.W. Semenoff and P. Sodano, *Loop Correlators and Theta States in 2D Yang-Mills Theory*, Ann. Phys.**260** (1997) 275.

[22] A. Bassetto, L. Griguolo and F. Vian, *Wilson loops in the adjoint representation and multiple vacua in two-dimensional Yang-Mills theory* , Ann. Phys. **285** (2000) 185.

[23] H. Weyl, **The Classical Groups**, *Princeton University Press*, Princeton, New Jersey (1946).

[24] A. Bassetto, *Two-dimensional Yang-Mills theory: perturbative and instanton contributions, and its relation to QCD in higher dimensions* , Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.) **88** (2000) 184.